Reference: LQD6034131 NOTCH

Pursuant to Section 130(1) of the Insolvency Act 1986 and Rule 7.22(2) of the Insolvency (England and Wales) Rules 2016

S.130(1) R7.22(2)

The Registrar of Companies Liquidation Section Room 1.03 Companies House Crown Way Cardiff CF14 3UZ

For office	cial use		
	ny Numl	oer	
080225		oer	

Name of Company 2020 Education Technology Limited

I, M Commins, Official Receiver and Liquidator, of Eastbrook, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8DR enclose a copy of the court's notice of the winding-up order made against the company on 13 October 2020 for filing on the company's file.

I also enclose a copy of the winding-up order for filing on the company's file.

Date 15 October 2020

M Commins
Official Receiver and Liquidator

For Official Use	
Liquidation Section	Post Room





Deputy District Judge Temple

In the matter of 2020 Education Technology Limited (08022539)

and

In the Matter of The Insolvency Act 1986

UPON THE PETITION OF Grenke Leasing Limited of 2 London Square, Cross Lanes, Guildford, England, GU1 1UN, Creditors of the above-named Company, presented to this Court on 19 August 2020

AND UPON HEARING Georgia Purnell, Counsel for the Petitioner and the Company being neither present nor represented

AND UPON READING the evidence

AND THE COURT being satisfied that the EC Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings applies to these proceedings and that these proceedings are main proceedings within the meaning of Article 3 of the Regulation

IT IS ORDERED THAT 2020 Education Technology Limited (08022539) be wound up by this Court under the provisions of the Insolvency Act 1986

AND IT IS ORDERED THAT the costs of the Petitioner be paid out of the assets of the company

Dated this: 13 October 2020

Note: One of the Official Receivers attached to the court is by virtue of this order liquidator of the company.

Reasons (EC Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings): the Petition and evidence verifying the petition assert that the Company's centre of main interest is in the United Kingdom. In the absence of any challenge to that evidence or indication that the company's centre of main interest is elsewhere, the court accepts the petitioner's contention